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#### Abstract

Tangent space null rotations are used to give a straightforward classification of the Ricci tensor in general relativity theory.


Several discussions of the algebraic classification of the Ricci tensor in general relativity tave been given (for example Churchill 1932, Plebanski 1964, Plebanski and Stachel 1968, Barnes 1974). In this note, a straightforward approach to such a classification is persented which makes use of the null rotation subgroup of the proper orthochronous Lorentz group. Although the Ricci tensor is singled out, the results given apply to any symmetric second-order tensor on a four-dimensional Lorentzian manifold.

Throughout, $M$ will denote a space-time (a four-dimensional Lorentzian manifold) and if $p \in M, T_{p}(M)$ will denote the tangent space to $M$ at $p$-a four-dimensional real Lorentzian inner product space. Suppose that a basis for $T_{p}(M)$ is chosen so that the omponents of a certain null vector $l \in T_{p}(M)$ are $l^{i}$. Then $l$ can be supplemented by means of another null vector and two spacelike vectors in $T_{p}(M)$ whose components in this basis are $m^{i}, a^{i}, b^{i}$ respectively $\dagger$ and such that these four vectors form a null tetrad axisfying $l^{i} m_{i}=a^{i} a_{i}=b^{i} b_{i}=1$, with all other inner products zero. If the components of the metric tensor in this basis are $g_{i j}$, one has the completeness relation $\dot{q}_{i j}=2 l_{(i} m_{j)}+a_{i} a_{j}+b_{i} b_{j}$. Of the proper orthochronous Lorentz group of linear transformations of $T_{p}(M)$ into itself, the subgroup of null rotations about $l$ will be particularly useful. The members of this subgroup preserve the direction of $l$ and are moneniently represented in terms of components in the above basis by (Sachs 1961)

$$
\begin{align*}
& l^{i} \rightarrow \hat{l}^{i}=A l^{i} \\
& a^{i} \rightarrow a^{i}=\alpha a^{i}-\beta b^{i}-A \sqrt{ } 2(\alpha \gamma+\beta \delta) l^{i} \\
& b^{i} \rightarrow \dot{b}^{i}=\beta a^{i}+\alpha b^{i}+A \sqrt{ } 2(\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma) l^{i}  \tag{1}\\
& m^{i} \rightarrow \dot{m}^{i}=A^{-1} m^{i}+\sqrt{ } 2\left(\gamma a^{i}-\delta b^{i}\right)-A\left(\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) l^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

and $A>0, \alpha, \gamma, \delta$ are real parameters, arbitrary except for the restrictions $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1$

[^0]The covariant Ricci tensor with components $R_{i j}$ can be represented in terms of the members of the null tetrad, with the aid of the completeness relation, by the formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{i j}=2 R^{1} l_{(i} m_{j)}+R^{2} l_{i j}+R^{3} m_{i} m_{j}+2 R^{4} l_{(i} a_{j)}+2 R^{5} l_{(i} b_{j)}+2 R^{6} m_{(i} a_{j)} \\
+2 R^{7} m_{i( } b_{j)}+2 R^{8} a_{(i} b_{j)}+R^{9} a_{i} a_{j}+R^{10} b_{i} b_{j} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The mixed Ricci tensor with components $R_{j}^{i}$ can be represented as a linear transformation $R: T_{p}(M) \rightarrow T_{p}(M)$ with matrix $R_{j}^{i}$. The following general results about $R$ are available (cf Churchill 1932).
(i) There always exists a two-dimensional subspace of $T_{p}(M)$ which is an invariant 2-space of $R$.
(ii) If $V$ is an invariant 2 -space of $R$ then so is the 2 -space orthogonal to $V$.
(iii) $R$ has a spacelike invariant 2 -space (equivalently a timelike invariant 2 . space) $\Leftrightarrow R$ has two distinct spacelike eigenvectors.
(iv) $R$ has a null invariant 2 -space $\Leftrightarrow R$ has a null eigenvector.

To prove these results it is pointed out that a two-dimensional subspace of $T_{p}(M)$ is called timelike, null or spacelike according as it contains exactly two, one or no null vectors. The families of timelike, null and spacelike 2 -spaces partition the family of an 2 -spaces of $T_{p}(M)$. Also the 2 -space orthogonal to a spacelike (respectively timeilike, null) 2-space of $T_{p}(M)$ is timelike (respectively spacelike, null). To prove (i), note thatif $R_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a Jordan matrix, then the first two members (in the conventional numbering) of a Jordan basis for $R_{i}^{j}$ span an invariant 2 -space of $R$. If $R_{i}^{j}$ is notsimilar to a Jordan matrix, the real and imaginary parts of a complex eigenvector of $R$ span an invariant 2 -space of $R$. The proof of (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows from the following remarks. If $R$ has a spacelike invariant 2 -space spanned by orthogonal unit spacelike vectors $a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$, construct a null tetrad with components $l^{i}, m^{i}, a^{i}, b^{i}$. In terms of this null tetrad, $\dot{R}_{i j}$ takes the form (2) with $R^{4}=R^{5}=R^{6}=R^{7}=0$ whence $l^{i}$ and $m^{i}$ spanan orthogonal timelike invariant 2 -space. The proof when $R$ has a timelike invariant 2 -space is similar. In the case when $R$ has a spacelike invariant 2 -space spanned by unit spacelike vectors $a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$, one can start from the above null tetrad and perform a null rotation (1) with $A=1, \gamma=\delta=0$ and $\alpha, \beta$ chosen such that in the new null tetrad $\hat{l}^{i} \dot{m}^{i} \dot{a}^{i} \dot{b}^{i}, \hat{R}^{4}=\hat{R}^{5}=\hat{R}^{6}=\hat{R}^{7}=\hat{R}^{8}=0$. It then follows that $\hat{a}^{i}$ and $\hat{b}^{i}$ are the components of distinct spacelike eigenvectors of $R$. If $R$ has a null invariant 2 -space, let $l^{i}, a^{i}$ span this 2-space, where $l^{i} l_{i}=l^{i} a_{i}=0$ and $a^{i}$ is a unit spacelike vector. One now constructs a null tetrad $l^{i} m^{i} a^{i} b^{i}$ and in this tetrad $R_{i j}$ takes the form (2) with $R^{3}=R^{6}=R^{7}=R^{8}=0$. Thus $l^{i}$ is an eigenvector of $R$ and $l^{i}$ and $b^{i}$ span a null invariant 2 -space orthogonal to the original one. All the results above now follow easily. The results (i) and (ii) show that at least two invariant 2 -spaces of $R$ always exist.

The various canonical forms for the Ricci tensor, based on equation (2), can now be derived. Two cases are considered.

## Case A. The Ricci tensor has a null eigenvector

Let $l^{i}$ be the components of a null eigenvector of the Ricci tensor. If a null tetrad containing $l^{i}$ is constructed with members $l^{i}, m^{i}, a^{i}, b^{i}$, the Ricci tensor can be writtenil the form (2) with $R^{3}=R^{6}=R^{7}=0$. One now simplifies the expression (2) by performing a null rotation about $l^{i}$ of the form (1) with $A=1, \gamma=\delta=0$ and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ chosen 80 that in the new null tetrad $\hat{l}^{i} \dot{m}^{i} \dot{a}^{i} \dot{b}^{i}, \dot{R}^{3}=\dot{R}^{6}=\dot{R}^{7}=\hat{R}^{8}=0$. Four possibilities now arise: (a) $\hat{R}^{1} \neq \hat{R}^{9}, \dot{R}^{1} \neq \dot{R}^{10}$, (b) $\hat{R}^{1}=\hat{R}^{9} \neq \hat{R}^{10}$, (c) $\tilde{R}^{1}=\hat{R}^{10} \neq \dot{R}^{9}$ and (d) $\hat{R}^{1}=\hat{R}^{9}=$
$\mathrm{R}^{10}$. In case (a) one can perform a null rotation (1) with $\alpha=A=1, \beta=0$ and with $\gamma$ gnd $\delta$ chosen so that in the new null tetrad, $\tilde{R}^{3}=\tilde{R}^{4}=\tilde{R}^{5}=\tilde{R}^{6}=\tilde{R}^{7}=\tilde{R}^{8}=0$. So the Rici tensor takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=2 \rho_{1} l_{i} m_{j)}+\lambda l_{i} l_{j}+\rho_{2} a_{i} a_{j}+\rho_{3} b_{i} b_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the primes have been omitted from the tetrad vectors. If $\lambda \neq 0$, the vectors $l^{i}, a^{i}$ mad $b^{i}$ are eigenvectors of the Ricci tensor with eigenvalues $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{3}$ respectively. In uiscase it is easily shown that $R_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a Jordan matrix with Segré type $\{(2)(1)(1)\}$ (a) $\{(2)(1,1)\}$. If $\lambda=0, l^{i}, m^{i}, a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$ are all eigenvectors of the Ricci tensor with eqenvalues $\rho_{1}, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{3}$ respectively. In this case $R_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a diagonal matrix and has Segré type $\{(1,1)(1)(1)\}$ or $\{(1,1)(1,1)\}$. The cases (b) and (c) are similar. In exse (b), starting from the initial null tetrad in which $\hat{R}^{3}=\hat{R}^{6}=\hat{R}^{7}=\hat{R}^{8}=0$, if also $\dot{R}^{4}=0$ then there exists a null rotation (1) with $A=\alpha=1, \beta=\gamma=0$, yielding a new turad with $\tilde{R}^{3}=\tilde{R}^{4}=\tilde{R}^{5}=\tilde{R}^{6}=\tilde{R}^{7}=\tilde{R}^{8}=0$ and equation (3) together with the Segré tgpes $\{(2,1)(1)\}$ or $\{(1,1,1)(1)\}$ applies. If in the initial null tetrad, $\boldsymbol{R}^{4} \neq 0$, then there erists a null rotation with $A=\alpha=1, \beta=0$ yielding a null tetrad in which $\tilde{R}^{2}=\tilde{R}^{3}=$ $\tilde{R}^{3}=\tilde{R}^{6}=\tilde{R}^{7}=\tilde{R}^{8}=0$ and (on omitting primes again) a canonical form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=2 \rho_{1} l_{i} m_{j)}+2 \sigma l_{(i} a_{j)}+\rho_{1} a_{i} a_{j}+\rho_{2} b_{i} b_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\dot{R}^{4} \neq 0$, we have $\sigma \neq 0$ and so $l^{i}$ and $b^{i}$ are eigenvectors of the Ricci tensor with eigenvalues $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ respectively. The matrix $R_{i}^{i}$ is similar to a Jordan matrix with Segre type $\{(3)(1)\}$. Case (c) is similar. In case (d), if $\hat{R}^{4}=\dot{R}^{5}=0$, equation (3) again reults with Segré types $\{(2,1,1)\}$ or $\left\{(1,1,1,1\}\right.$. If $\left(\vec{R}^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{R}^{5}\right)^{2} \neq 0$, a null rotation mith $A=1$ can be used to obtain a null tetrad in which the canonical form (4) holds with $\beta_{1}=\rho_{2}$. In this case one again has $\sigma \neq 0$ and $\dot{R}_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a Jordan matrix with Segré tope $\{(3,1)\}$.

## Case B. The Ricci tensor has no null eigenvectors

Ithe Ricci tensor has no null eigenvectors, it follows from results (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) above that it has two distinct spacelike eigenvectors with, say, components $a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$. On monstructing a null tetrad with components $l^{i}, m^{i}, a^{i}, b^{i}$, the Ricci tensor in this tetrad takes the form (2) with $R^{4}=R^{5}=R^{6}=R^{7}=R^{8}=0$. The condition that the Ricci tersor has no null eigenvectors implies that $R^{2} \neq 0, R^{3} \neq 0$. The ambiguity in this null turad, represented by a null rotation (1) with $\alpha=1, \gamma=\delta=\beta=0$ and $A$ arbitrary, can beatilized to ensure that $\left|R^{2}\right|=\left|R^{3}\right|$. The two possibilities $R^{2}=R^{3}$ and $R^{2}=-R^{3}$ yield the respective canonical forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}=2 \rho_{1} l_{(i} m_{j)}+\rho_{2}\left(l_{i} l_{j}+m_{i} m_{j}\right)+\rho_{3} a_{i} a_{j}+\rho_{4} b_{i} b_{j}  \tag{5}\\
& R_{i j}=2 \rho_{5} l_{(i} m_{j)}+\rho_{6}\left(l_{i} l_{j}-m_{i} m_{j}\right)+\rho_{7} a_{i} a_{j}+\rho_{8} b_{i} b_{j} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the condition $\rho_{3} \neq \rho_{1}-\rho_{2} \neq \rho_{4}$ holds in equation (5). Equation (5) shows that $R_{i}^{j}$ is imimiar to a diagonal matrix with Segré type $\{(1)(1)(1)(1)\}$ or some degeneracy of this The. There is one timelike eigenvector $l^{i}-m^{i}$ and three spacelike eigenvectors $l^{i}+m^{i}$, ${ }^{6}$ and $b^{i}$ and their eigenvalues are respectively $\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}, \rho_{1}+\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$ and $\rho_{4}$. If the Ricci tison takes the form (6), then the real eigenvectors are $a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$ with (real) eigenvalues pand $p_{8}$ respectively. In this case, $R_{i}^{j}$ is not similar to a Jordan matrix but rather has moplex eigenvectors $l^{i} \pm \mathrm{i} m^{i}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\rho_{5} \pm \mathrm{i} \rho_{6}$.

Some concluding remarks can now be given. Firstly, it follows immediately from equation (2) that if a Ricci tensor has two distinct null eigendirections, then their corresponding eigenvalues are equal and $R_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a diagonal matrix. (The equality of the eigenvalues also follows from the fact that two eigenvectors with different eigenvalues are orthogonal.) The results given above also show that a Rici tensor has a unique null eigendirection $\Leftrightarrow R_{i}^{j}$ is similar to a Jordan matrix with a non-simple elementary divisor, and that $R_{i}^{j}$ is diagonable $\Leftrightarrow$ the Ricci tensor has a timelike eigenvector. All Ricci tensors have at least two distinct real eigenvectors. Secondly, it is easily shown that the null tetrad yielding the canonical structure (4) is uniquely determined when the eigenvalues $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are distinct and when the value of $\sigma$ is to be preserved. However, in equation (3) with $\lambda \neq 0$, even when the eigenvalues $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{3}$ are distinct and the value of $\lambda$ to be preserved, the null tetrad is not unique since alternative tetrads are obtainable from (1) with $A=1, \gamma=\delta=0$ and either $\beta=0$, $\alpha=-1$ or $\alpha=0, \beta= \pm 1$. Similar ambiguities arise in equations (5) and (6) when the eigenvalues are distinct. Further ambiguities of tetrads are introduced in the event of certain eigenvalues being equal. Also $\dagger$, in (3) with $\lambda \neq 0$, for a given tetrad and triple of

Table 1.

Case A. The Ricci tensor has a null eigenvector

Present notation
Subcase (a)
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dot{R}^{1} \neq \dot{R}^{9} \neq \dot{R}^{10} \neq \dot{R}^{1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\lambda \neq 0 \\ \lambda=0\end{array}\right. \\ \dot{R}^{1} \neq \dot{R}^{9}=\dot{R}^{10} \neq \dot{R}^{1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\lambda \neq 0 \\ \lambda=0\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$
$\{(2)(1)(1)\}$
$\{(1,1)(1)(1)\}$
$\{(2)(1,1)\}$
$\{(1,1)(1,1)\}$
Subcase (b) (case (c) similar)
$\left\{\begin{aligned} &\left(\dot{R}^{4}=0\right. \\ & \dot{R}^{1}=\dot{R}^{9} \neq \hat{R}^{10}\lambda \neq 0) \\ &\left(\dot{R}^{4}=0\right.\lambda=0) \\ &\left(\dot{R}^{4} \neq 0\right)\end{aligned}\right.$
$\{(1,1,1)(1)\}$ $\{(3)(1)\}$

Plebanski type

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[2 N-S_{1}-S_{2}\right]_{[2-1-1]}} \\
& {\left[2 T-S_{1}-S_{2}\right]_{[1-1-1]}} \\
& {[2 N-2 S]_{[2-1]}} \\
& {\left[2 T-2 S_{[1-1]}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[3 N-S]_{[2-1]}
$$

$$
[3 T-S]_{[1-1]}
$$

$$
[3 N-S]_{[3-1]}
$$

Subcase (d)
$\left\{\begin{array}{rll}\left(\dot{R}^{4}=\dot{R}^{5}=0 \quad \lambda \neq 0\right) & \{(2,1,1)\} & {[4 N]_{[2]}} \\ \dot{R}^{1}=\dot{R}^{9}=\dot{R}^{10} \\ \left(\dot{R}^{4}=\dot{R}^{5}=0 \quad \lambda=0\right) & \{(1,1,1,1)\} & {[4 T]_{[1]}} \\ \left.\left(\left(\dot{R}^{4}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{R}^{5}\right)^{2}\right) \neq 0\right) & \{(3,1)\} & {[4 N]_{[3]}}\end{array}\right.$
Case B. The Ricci tensor has no null eigenvectors

| $\left\{R^{2}=R^{3}\right.$ | $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=\rho_{4}$ | $\{(1)(1,1,1)\}$ | $[T-3 S]_{[1-1]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=\rho_{3} \neq \rho_{4}$ | $\{(1)(1)(1,1)\}$ | $\left[T-S_{1}-2 S_{2}{ }^{\text {[ }}\right.$ [ $\left.1-1-1\right]$ |
|  | $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=\rho_{4} \neq \rho_{3}$ | $\{(1)(1)(1,1)\}$ | $\left[T-S_{1}-2 S_{2}\right][1-1-1]$ |
|  | $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2} \neq \rho_{3}=\rho_{4}$ | $\{(1)(1)(1,1)\}$ | [ $T-S_{1}-2 S_{2}{ }_{1}[1-1-1]$ |
|  | $\rho_{3} \neq \rho_{4} \neq \rho_{1}+\rho_{2} \neq \rho_{3}$ | $\{(1)(1)(1)(1)\}$ | $\left[T-S_{1}-S_{2}-S_{3}[1-1-1-1]\right.$ |
| $\left\{R^{2}=-R^{3}\right.$ | $\rho_{7}=\rho_{8}$ | $\{Z \bar{Z}(1,1)\}$ | $\left[Z-\bar{Z}-2 S_{[1-1-1]}\right.$ |
|  | $\rho_{7} \neq \rho_{8}$ | $\{Z \bar{Z}(1)(1)\}$ | $\left[Z-\bar{Z}-S_{1}-S_{2}[\underline{1-1-1-1]}\right.$ |

$\dagger$ Here, the problem of determining the distinct Lorentz orbits is touched upon. A complete list of such onbis has been given by Collinson and Shaw (1972).
eigenvalues $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{3}$ corresponding to the eigenvectors $l^{i}, a^{i}$ and $b^{i}$, two different Ricitensors are determined (distinguished by the sign of $\lambda$ ) in the following sense; any such Ricai tensor may be reduced by a transformation (1) to the form (3) in which the cofficient of $l_{i j}$ is $+1(-1) \Leftrightarrow \lambda>0(\lambda<0)$ in the original tetrad. In contrast, any Ricci tersor satisfying (4) $(\sigma \neq 0)$ may be reduced to the form (4) with $\sigma=1$ by a transformation of the form (1). Thirdly, a classification of the Ricci tensor can be achieved directly by considering the possible Jordan and rational forms for a $4 \times 4$ matrix. Since the real nambers are not algebraically closed, the rational form is introduced to deal with those cases when complex eigenvalues occur. Since this approach (or variations of it) considers the matrices $R_{i}^{j}$ and $g_{i}^{j}$, the signature of the metric is disregarded and the Lorentzian signature must be imposed as a constraint after the algebraic results have beenestablished. As a result, certain canonical types are ruled out as being inconsistent with the Lorentzian signature of $g_{i j}$ and the symmetry of $R_{i j}$ (cf Plebanski 1964, Barnes 1974). The present approach incorporates the Lorentzian signature from the beginning and no elimination procedure is necessary. Fourthly, for any Ricci tensor which has mon-real eigenvalues or has Segré type $\{(3)(1)\}$ or $\{(3,1)\}$, the canonical forms (4) and (6) an be used to show the existence of timelike vectors with components $u^{i}, v^{i}$, say, staisying $R_{i j} u^{i} u^{j}<0<R_{i j} v^{i} v^{j}$ and so such Ricci tensors would not be considered physically significant in general relativity because of the well known 'energy ondition’ $\dagger$. Finally, table 1 gives a summary of the present classification and the asociated Segré types and relates it to the well known Plebanski classification of the Rici tensor (Plebanski 1964).
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[^1]
[^0]:    Thain indices take the values $0,1,2,3$, the summation convention is used throughout and round brackets thate the usual symmetrization.

[^1]:    The author's attention has recently been drawn to the paper by Collinson and Shaw (1972) in which this
    mapears.

